



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/462,703	06/05/95	HODGEN	G SCH1309-C1

HM12/0304
MILLEN WHITE ZELAND AND BRANIGAN
ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA-I
SUITE 1400
2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD
ARLINGTON VA 22201

EXAMINER	
JORDAN, K	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1614

DATE MAILED: 03/04/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/462,703

Applicant(s)

Hodgen et al.

Examiner

Kimberly Jordan

Group Art Unit

1614



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 9, 1998

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 42-107 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 42-107 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

KIMBERLY JORDAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP-1200

1610

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1205

Claims 42-107 are pending in this application.

Claims 42-55 and 102-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodgen (AW) in view of Black (A) for reasons of record. The applicants' remarks have been considered but are unpersuasive. Applicants argue that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of the cited references. The examiner did provide the motivation to combine the references in the June 9, 1998 office action at page 3, lines 1-7. Moreover, the motivation to combine methods that are already known for the same purpose is well settled in patent law (see *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980)). Thus, the claims remain obvious from the cited prior art.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and © may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 42-46, 56-81, 94, and 98-99 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 1205

5,468,736. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the dosages administered overlap.

Applicants' remarks regarding this rejection are noted. The rejection will be maintained until allowable subject matter is found.

Claims 42-107 of this application conflict with claims 42-107 of Application No. 08/462,705. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Applicants' remarks regarding this rejection are noted and the claims may remain in both applications for the time being. However, if there are claims which are eventually allowed, the conflicting claims will be required to be canceled from all but one application.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Kimberly Jordan at telephone number (703) 308-4611.


Serial Number: 08/462,703

Page 4

Art Unit: 1205

JORDAN

March 2, 1999


KIMBERLY JORDAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200
1610